ENROAD

Analysis of business models and governance and organizational issues (WP4)







The main objective is to develop a general customer-side renewable energy business model to be adjusted to specific business cases depending on selected variables: <u>NRA interests</u>, technologies and countries' regulatory, environmental, and economic circumstances.

The specific objectives are as follows:

- The design of the general business model is based on considering the associated variables of the different generation technologies.
- To promote the financial and environmental assessment of alternative business model scenarios, based on technical and economic parameters.
- To propose policies and/or recommendations for governments in the business model applications.

Task 4.1.- General description and proposal of the business models for market-price and electricity use analysis

Task 4.2.- General business model design

Task 4.3.- Application of the model

Task 4.4. Contrast study between business models and current regulatory framework barriers

Task 4.5. Proposal of policies and/or recommendations for governments in the business models applications



General description and BM proposal: provision of renewable electricity at a cost-competitive price to NRAs and its third parties:

- Analysis of business models based on renewable energies.
- Business model based on the energy demand response.
- Software review of the market's principal renewable energy simulation tools (including economic and financial issues).
- General description of the proposal for the ENROAD's business model.



The BM general design is linked to WP2 Analysis of renewable energy generation technologies for application in NRA's assets and topologies and WP3 Assessment of applicable legislative and regulatory frameworks, and its outcomes.

- BM is supported by a Microsoft Excel template (file) configured with NRAs identification, and economic and financial parameters (e.g. country interest rate) and uploaded in GIS.
- GIS writes location primary energy data and facility optimization parameters in Excel. This file does calculations as it is opened automatically and generates the outcomes of economic, financial, and environmental assessments.
- The GIS generates one BM's easy-to-use Excel file for each location. Afterward, this file offers multiple simulations and analysis possibilities for RET's advanced users.

ENROAD GIS TOOL



Deliverable 4.1 Overview of business model







BM design: investment performance (financial/environmental) with revenue streams (cost savings), CAPEX & OPEX, CO2 savings, etc.

- NRAs model configuration (uses, necessities, and opportunities) in location.
- Acquisition of electricity output from GIS.
- Country market prices (PPA when available).
- Facility investment configuration (including batteries) and cost estimation (CAPEX).
- Facility functioning and cost estimation (OPEX).
- Revenues estimation and cost savings from facility long-term energy production.
- Economic performance: cost/benefit analysis, Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE), and an Analytical Profit and Loss statement for each RET are offered.
- Financial assessment: Payback period, Accounting Rate of Return (instead of ROA), Net Present Value (NPV), and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for each RET are offered.
- Flexibility: a copy of GIS's downloaded MS Excel file can be modified to go forward with analysis and simulations (e.g. ROE, spinoffs, etc.) (be careful not to upload to GIS after that).



Overview of business model: general proposal and description A report containing the model elements and its relations, the revenues and cost list (Tasks 4.1 & 4.2) (UC) (February 8th, 2022)

| • | neral proposal and description | Service Encord |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Supporting renewable | g the implementat e energy technolog infrastructure | ion by NRAs of ies in the road |
| | ENRO | AD |
| Ų | | |
| Ų | Deliverable 4.1 | |
| O gen | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business eral proposal and de | model: scription |
| Or gent | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business of eral proposal and de | model: scription |
| O gen Deliverable no.: | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business eral proposal and de | model: scription |
| O genu Deliverable no.: Work Package no.: Statur | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business i eral proposal and de | model: scription |
| O gene Deliverable no.: Work Package no.: Status Version: | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business of eral proposal and de | model: scription |
| Or genu Deliverable no.: Work Package no.: Status Version: Author: | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business eral proposal and de 4.1 4 Submitted 01 | model: scription |
| O genu Deliverable no.: Work Package no.: Status Version: Author: Date: | Deliverable 4.1 verview of business i eral proposal and de 4.1 4 Submitted 01 University of Cantabria 08/02/2022 | l model: scription |

| TAB | LE OF CONTENTS | |
|-----|---|--|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | |
| 2. | BUSINESS MODELS | |
| | 2.1 BUSINESS MODELS BASED ON RENEWABLE ENERGIES | |
| | 2.2 BUSINESS MODEL BASED ON THE ENERGY DEMAND RESPONSE | |
| 3. | RENEWARLE ENERGY SIMULATION (COSTING) SOFTWARE | |
| | 3.1 HYBRID OPTMIZATION MODEL FOR ELECTRIC RENEWABLES (HOMER) | |
| | 3.2 RETScreen | |
| | 3 3 HYBRID2 | |
| | 3.4 (HOGA | |
| 4. | GENERAL BUSINESS MODEL DESCRIPTION | |
| 5. | BUSINESS MODEL: DESIGN AND APPLICATION | |
| | 5.1 DEFINITION OF THE NRA LAND/ASSETS | |
| | 5.2 RET ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION | |
| | 5.2.1. Small- and large-scale wind energy | |
| | 5.2.2. Solar photovoltaic energy | |
| | 5.2.3. Mini-hydro energy | |
| | 5.3 COST ESTIMATION MODEL | |
| | 5.3.1. Intermittence in the production and non-used capacity | |
| | 5.3.2 Tendencies in the generation costs of RE | |
| | 5.3.3 Target costing, sunk costs and net margins | |
| | 5.3.4 Classification of costs | |
| | 5.4 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES (CAPEX) | |
| | 5.5 OPERATIONS EXPENDITURES (OPEX) | |
| | 5.6 DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING COSTS (DEC) | |
| | 5.7 COST ANALYSIS STRUCTURE, MARGINS AND THE LEVELIZED COST OF ENERGY | |
| | 5.8 CASH BUDGET AND CASH-FLOW MANAGEMENT | |
| | 5.9 RE INVESTMENT FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT | |
| | 3.9.1 Payback Period | |
| | 5.9.2 Accounting Rate of Return (ARR) | |
| | 5.9.3 Net Present Value (NPV) | |
| | 5.9.4 Internal Rate of Return (IRR) | |
| 6. | FINAL COMMENTS | |

The operation of the BM in the GIS is a multistage process based on alternative scenarios that starts with the NRA identification and energy necessities and uses, followed by the collection of energy market prices; the selection of feasible locations in terms of electricity production by technology; the CAPEX and OPEX estimation; the revenues and saving estimation; and the economic performance and financial assessment.

Deliverable 4.1 presents a design of the BM that shows a balance between the accuracy of the results and its ease of use within the GIS based on the Microsoft Excel platform.



In the last version to date (V26), the GIS/BM use 6 RETs and 4 ESS.

1.1.- Technology Characteristics

| TECHNOL | CHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS | | rics | SMALL WIND | | LARGE WIND | | SOLAR ENERGY | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Group | ID | Item | Unit | Small Wind HAWT Bornay 6000 | Small Wind Darrieus Aeolos-V 3kW | Large Wind HWAT V90-2.0 MW | Large Wind HWAT V112-3.3 MW | PV Monocrystalline A-330M GS PERC | PV Monocrystalline JAM72S30-530/MR |
| | | | | HWAT Bornay 6000 | DARRIEUS Aeolos-V 3kW | HWAT V90-2.0 MW | HWAT V112-3.3 MW | Monocrystalline A-330M GS PERC | Monocrystalline JAM72S30-530 |
| WIND TURBINE | GENERAL | Nominal Power | kW | 6.0 | 3.0 | 2000 | 3300 | - | - |
| | | Peak Power | kW | 6.2 | 3.8 | 2000 | 3300 | - | |
| | | Rotor Diameter | m | 4,0 | 2,8 | 90 | 112 | - | - |
| | | Rotor Height | m | - | 3,6 | - | - | - | - |
| | | Nominal Wind Speed | m/s | 12,0 | 11,0 | 11,5 | 14,0 | - | - |
| | | Cut-in Wind speed | m/s | 3,5 | 2,5 | 4,0 | 2,5 | - | - |
| | | Cut-out Wind speed | m/s | 20,0 | 20,0 | 25,0 | 25,0 | - | - |
| | | Survival wind speed | m/s | 60,0 | 52,5 | - | - | - | - |
| | | Weight (excl. Tower) | kg | 107 | 106 | 104000 | 138000 | - | - |
| | | Expected lifetime | years | 20 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PV MODULE | GENERAL | Number of cells | no. | - | - | - | - | 60 | 144 |
| | | Module Length | mm | - | - | - | - | 1640 | 2278 |
| | | Module Width | mm | - | - | - | - | 992 | 1134 |
| | | Module Thickness | mm | - | - | - | - | 35 | 30 |
| | | Module Weight | kg | - | - | - | - | 17,5 | 27,8 |
| | | Maximum Power (at STC) | W | - | - | - | - | 330 | 530 |
| | | Module Efficiency | % | - | - | - | - | 19,78 | 20,50 |
| | | Maximum Power (at NOCT) | W | - | - | - | - | 279 | 401 |

1.5.- Energy Storage System (ESS)

ESS CONFIGURATION PARAMETERS

| | | (2 modules pack) | (1 module pack) | (1 module pack) | (2 modules pack) | (1 module pack) |
|------------------------------|-----|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| BATTERY TECHNOLOGIES | | BYD LVL 15.4 | HUAWEI LUNA2000-200 | CEGASA EBICK 280 pro | BYD LVL 15.4 | HUAWEI LUNA2000-2M |
| Cell Material | - | LFP | LFP | LFP | LFP | LFP |
| Module(s) nominal capacity | kWh | 15,36 | 16,13 | 13,44 | 15,36 | 16,38 |
| Nominal rated voltage | v | 51,20 | 57,60 | 48,00 | 51,20 | 51,20 |
| Maximum rated current | А | 250,00 | 200,00 | 175,00 | 250,00 | 200,00 |
| Maximum capacity ESS | kWh | 983,00 | 193,50 | 2000,00 | 983,00 | 2064,00 |
| Maximum no. modules | no. | 64 | 12 | 149 | 64 | 126 |
| | | SMA | SUN2000 | FRONIUS | SUN2000 | SMA |
| INVERTER SYSTEM TECHNOLOGIES | | STS 110-60 | 100KTL-M1 | Tauro D ECO | 330KTL-H1 | SCS 3450 UP |
| Rated power | kW | 110,00 | 100,00 | 100,00 | 300,00 | 3450,00 |
| Maximum rated current | А | 160,00 | 260,00 | 175,00 | 390,00 | 4750,00 |
| Operating voltage range | v | 500-800 | 200-1000 | 580-1000 | 500-1500 | 880-1500 |
| | | | | | | |

COST OF TECHNOLOGIES



3.2.- CAPEX, OPEX & DEC

CAPital Expenditures - Investments

| | | Small Wind | Small Wind | Large Wind | Large Wind | PV | PV |
|---|-------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Facility Investments | | HAWT | Darrieus | HWAT | HWAT | Monocrystalline | Monocrystalline |
| | | Burnay 6000 | AUUUS-V SKVV | V 90-2.0 IVIVV | V112-3.5 IVIVV | A-SSUIVI GS PERC | JAIVI/2350-550/ IVIK |
| Power sources | EUR | 7.320.000,00 | 7.565.000,00 | 6.400.000,00 | 4.200.000,00 | 25.522.667,87 | 21.460.946,07 |
| Structures | EUR | 1.830.000,00 | 3.631.200,00 | 824.000,00 | 540.000,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Inverters/Converters | EUR | 1.390.800,00 | 1.815.600,00 | 1.080.000,00 | 750.000,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Transformers | EUR | 2.108.160,00 | 2.602.360,00 | 1.660.800,00 | 1.098.000,00 | 5.104.533,57 | 4.292.189,21 |
| Land & building constructions | EUR | 2.579,87 | 2.393,69 | 1.840.720,00 | 1.598.520,00 | 263.611,93 | 266.773,89 |
| Grid connection | EUR | 10.666,16 | 10.030,85 | 44.265,61 | 38.912,89 | 202.618,13 | 204.962,41 |
| Batteries | EUR | 488.900,00 | 488.900,00 | 488.900,00 | 488.900,00 | 488.900,00 | 488.900,00 |
| Government subsidies | EUR | -500.000,00 | -500.000,00 | -500.000,00 | -500.000,00 | -500.000,00 | -500.000,00 |
| Total CAPEX | EUR | 12.651.106,03 | 15.615.484,54 | 11.838.685,61 | 8.214.332,89 | 31.082.331,51 | 26.213.771,59 |
| Years | Years | 20 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Annualized CAPEX (Facility depreciation) | EUR | 633.110,30 | 781.329,23 | 394.992,85 | 274.181,10 | 1.036.447,72 | 874.162,39 |
| End-of-cycle depreciation and dismantling | EUR | 328.777,65 | 402.887,11 | 308.467,14 | 217.858,32 | 63.164,66 | 53.427,54 |
| Annualized EoC (Dismantling depreciation) | EUR | 16.438,88 | 20.144,36 | 10.282,24 | 7.261,94 | 2.105,49 | 1.780,92 |

OPerational Expenditures

| Annual Costs | | HAWT Bornay 6000 | Darrieus Aeolos-V 3kW | HWAT V90-2.0 MW | HWAT V112-3.3 MW | Monocrystalline A-330M GS PERC | Monocrystalline JAM72S30-530/MR |
|--|-----|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Manpower | EUR | 48.440,00 | 48.440,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 83.000,00 | 82.500,07 |
| Land lease | EUR | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Maintenance | EUR | 3.611,82 | 3.351,17 | 73.628,80 | 63.940,80 | 279.466,41 | 284.314,03 |
| Insurances | EUR | 91.500,00 | 111.962,00 | 223.516,00 | 194.106,00 | 131.805,97 | 133.386,94 |
| Communications | EUR | 2.000,00 | 2.000,00 | 31.555,20 | 27.403,20 | 31.633,43 | 32.012,87 |
| Security | EUR | 3.000,00 | 3.000,00 | 42.073,60 | 36.537,60 | 42.177,91 | 42.683,82 |
| Monitoring | EUR | 5.000,00 | 5.000,00 | 10.518,40 | 9.134,40 | 10.544,48 | 10.670,96 |
| Energy purchased | EUR | | | | | | |
| Other general and administrative costs | EUR | 2.000,00 | 2.000,00 | 21.036,80 | 18.268,80 | 21.088,95 | 21.341,91 |
| Interest | EUR | 349.803,68 | 441.728,74 | 334.225,36 | 220.701,37 | 933.014,18 | 783.308,16 |
| Total OPEX | EUR | 505.355,50 | 617.481,91 | 736.554,16 | 570.092,17 | 1.532.731,32 | 1.390.218,76 |

CAPEX and OPEX estimation: initial investment and investment scaling (modules, structures, connections, transformers, inverters, BOS, batteries, and financing) and annual operation costs (manpower, maintenance, insurance, communications, security, monitoring, etc.).

The total sum of both expenditures per MWh levelized per year (LCOE) and the total cost of the first year per MWh (FYTC) will be considered as the reference for each technology configuration and technical life-cycle of the facility.



| | | Tech_1 | Tech_2 | Tech_3 | Tech_4 | Tech_5 | Tech_6 | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| RESULTS FOR THE DIFFERENT TECHNO | DLOGIES | HAWT | Darrieus | HWAT | HWAT | Monocrystalline | Monocrystalline | Average |
| | | Bornay 6000 | Aeolos-V 3kW | V90-2.0 MW | V112-3.3 MW | A-330M GS PERC | JAM72S30-530/MR | |
| | | Small Wind | Small Wind | Large Wind | Large Wind | PV | PV | |
| Number of turbines/modules | No. | 754 | 1.517 | 4 | 2 | 115.440 | 72.744 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total Annual Energy Production | MWh year | 1.051,0 | 369,2 | 15.589,6 | 16.149,9 | 76.959,7 | 59.184,1 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Production per m2 | kWh/m2 year | 2,10 | 0,74 | 31,17 | 32,29 | 153,88 | 118,34 | |
| Covered demand for energy | 0/ | 220/ | 110/ | 4759/ | 40.2% | 22429/ | 1903% | |
| Covered demand for energy | 70 | 3276 | 1176 | 475% | 492% | 2343% | 180276 | |
| Total installed peak capacity | MWp | 1.5 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 38.1 | 38.6 | |
| | r | 1- | , | | .,. | | | |
| Yearly efficiency looses | % | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,30% | 0,30% | |
| | | | •••••• | ••••• | | | | |
| First Year Total Cost (FYTC) | EUR/MWh | 1.098,81 | 3.842,95 | 73,58 | 53,05 | 33,44 | 38,33 | 856,69 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| LCOE | EUR/MWh | 1.238,90 | 4.310,50 | 91,99 | 67,75 | 41,75 | 48,42 | 966,55 |
| 1 | | | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | | | |
| LCOE's best technology (LCOE) | EUR/MWh | | | | | 41,75 | | |
| Charting body laws start and | ELID. | 12 (51 10) | 15 615 495 | 11 020 000 | 0 214 222 | 21 002 222 | 26 212 772 | 17 (02 (10 |
| Starting total investment | EUK | 12.051.100 | 15.015.485 | 11.838.080 | 8.214.333 | 31.082.332 | 20.213.772 | 17.602.619 |
| Total Energy Revenues | FLIR | 1 456 386 | 511 633 | 30 751 567 | 31 856 893 | 145 624 490 | 111 989 163 | 53 698 355 |
| Total Liters) hereitets | Lon | 11001000 | 511,005 | 500,51507 | 51.650.655 | 115102 11150 | 1115051205 | 5510501055 |
| Project Duration (and loan repayment) | Years | 20 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Debt (bank loan) over Investment | EUR | 12.492.988,63 | 15.776.026,48 | 11.936.619,93 | 7.882.191,71 | 33.321.934,88 | 27.975.291,56 | 18.230.842,20 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Payback period | Years | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 10 | 14 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| NPV | EUR | -26.759.146 | -34.011.113 | -15.171.102 | -5.203.302 | 15.565.132 | 3.013.916 | |
| | 0/ | pogativo | nogativo | nogativo | pogativo | 7.60% | 4 279/ | |
| INN | 70 | negative | negative | negauve | negative | 7,00% | 4,3770 | |
| AARR | % | -7.46% | -7.75% | -1.74% | 1.15% | 7.53% | 5.55% | |
| | <i>,</i> , | ,, | ., | ±,, | 1,1070 | 1,0070 | 3,3370 | |
| Sales for Break-even Point Based on First Year Production | EUR YR | 1.154.904,68 | 1.418.955,50 | 1.147.019,25 | 856.725,21 | 2.573.591,20 | 2.268.468,73 | |
| | | | - | | | | | |
| CO2 Emissions Savings | Tonne CO2/kWh year | - | - | 7.090 | 7.363 | 32.924 | 24.624 | |

For a potential location and load demand, based on a cost and benefit analysis, the business model analytical application will determine different financial and environmental scores, so scenery assessment and technology proposal should be indexed and reported.

ENROAD is different from other tools because of the analysis of the long-term effects in the financial result, individually or as a whole, of the loss of RET technical efficiency, as well as of the macroeconomic variables (EU country's reference interest rates and inflation). These together with the RNA's loan interest (if it exists) explain the difference in values between LCOE and FYTC (Cost GAP).

Deliverable 4.2 Methodology for economic and financial assessment



Methodology for the economic and financial assessment. A spreadsheet-based model and several applications. (Task 4.3) (UC) (October 27th, 2022)





Model validation: BM application for a potential location (load demand), technologies, and social and environmental issues. Selection of representative cases (BMs) for typical locations and techs.

- General case configuration: canvas and description; technical parameters and country's energy forward prices; road selection; area selection and configuration; economic and financial assessment; preliminary environmental assessment; summary analysis; and, conclusions.
- Case 1. Wind energy production and sale in Ireland.
- Case 2. Highway tunnel services with PV in Belgium.
- Case 3. PV Electric car charging station in Germany.

Deliverable 4.4 Model application and general conclusions



For a potential location and load demand, based on a cost and benefit analysis, the business model analytical application will determine different financial and environmental scores, so scenery assessment and technology proposal should be indexed and reported.

Case 1: Energy production and sale in Ireland



| Energy average price 2023-2057 | Selected RET |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 50,72 | Polycrystalline LX-330P/156-72+ |
| EUR/MWh | - |
| First Year Total Cost (FYTC) | COST GAP (LCOE - FYTC) |
| 36,64 | 21,08 |
| EUR/MWh | EUR/MWh |
| LCOE for selected RET (LCOE) | COST GAP (LCOE - FYTC)/ FYTC |
| 57,72 | 58% |
| 515 (h 114) | |

Case 2: Tunnel services in Belgium



Energy average price 2023-2057 Selected RET 103,48 Polycrystalline LX-330P/156-72 EUR/MWh First Year Total Cost COSTGAP (LCOE - FYTC) 51,89 130,07 EUR/MWI EUR/MWI LCOE for selected R COSTGAP (LCOE - FYTC)/ FYT 181,96 40% EUR/MWh

Case 3: Electric car charging station in Germany



| Energy average price 2024-2044 | Selected RET |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 490,00 | Monocrystalline A-330M GS PERC |
| EUR/MWh | · . |
| First Year Total Cost (FYTC) | COST GAP (LCOE - FYTC) |
| 34,37 | 19,82 |
| EUR/MWh | EUR/MWh |
| LCOE for selected RET (LCOE) | COST GAP (LCOE - FYTC)/ FYTC |
| 54,18 | 58% |
| EUR/MWh | |

Deliverable 4.4 Model application and general conclusions



Model application and general conclusions. A report with the general conclusions based on a if-then analysis. (task 4.5) (UC) (May 17th, 2023)

| verable 4.4. Iel application and general o | condusions | 🌢 ENRO | OAD |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Supportin renewable | g the impleme e energy techn infrastrue | entation by NRAs o nologies in the roa cture | of d |
| 5 | ENF | ROAD | |
| | Deliverab | le 4.4 | |
| Model aj | pplication and | general conclusions | _ |
| Deliverable no.: | 4.4 | | |
| Work Package no.: | 4 | | |
| Status | Submitted | | |
| Version: | 09 | | |
| Author: | University of Cantabri | 3 | |
| Dissemination level: | 17/05/2023 Confidential | | |
| <u>aimer</u> : ENROAD has receiv ment reflects only the suth ny use that may be made o | ed funding from the CEDR Tra or's views. The Conference of i f the information contained th | anınational Road Research Program – Ce European Directors of Roads (CEDR) is no Ierein. | all 2019. This t responsible |
| | | | |

| odel application and general conclusions | S ENROAD |
|--|-------------------|
| ABLE OF CONTENTS | |
| LIST OF TABLES | |
| I IST OF FIGURES | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | |
| LIST OF GRAPHS | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 2. MODEL APPLICATION STAGES | |
| | 5 TH TOPI AND 30 |
| 3. CASE 1: ENERGY PRODUCTION AND SAL | E IN IRELAND |
| 3.1. CASE CANY AS AND DESCRIPTION | D DF1/ EC |
| 33 ROAD SELECTION | 11 |
| 34 AREA SELECTION AND CONFIGURATI | ON /3 |
| 3.5. ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL ASSESSA | ENT 19 |
| 3.6. PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL ASS | ESSMENT 21 |
| 3.7. SUMMARY ANALYSIS | 22 |
| 3.8. CASE CONCLUSIONS | |
| 4. CASE 2: TUNNEL SERVICES IN BELICIUM | 26 |
| 41 CASE CANVAS AND DESCRIPTION | 26 |
| 4.2. CONFIGURATION OF STANDARDS AND | D PRICES 27 |
| 43. ROAD SELECTION | 28 |
| 4.4. AREA SELECTION AND CONFIGURATI | ON 31 |
| 4.5. ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL ASSESSA | ŒNT |
| 4.6. PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL ASS | ESSMENT 30 |
| 4.7. SUMMARY ANALYSIS | |
| 4.8. CASE CONCLUSION | |
| 5. CASE 3: FLECTRIC CAR CHARGING STAT | TON IN GERMANY 39 |
| SI CASE CANVAS AND DESCRIPTION | 20 |
| 52 CONFIGURATION OF STANDARDS AN | D PRICES 40 |
| 5.3. ROAD SELECTION | 41 |
| 5.4. AREA SELECTION AND CONFIGURATI | ON |
| 5.5. ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL ASSESSA | ŒNT |
| 5.6. PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL ASS | ESSMENT 53 |
| | |

Call 2019

All the cases are based on the same model structure which had been adapted in economic terms by taking into consideration the EU countries' labour cost levels (compensation of employees plus taxes minus subsidies) in industry, construction, and services (except public administration, defense, and compulsory social security). We made each case with reference to the Spanish costs investments and costs and for the selected countries weighted them with the EUROSTAT's labour cost index (LCI) in 2021. Table 1 offers the weight values for ENROADs countries.

| Belgium | 41,6 | | 1,82 |
|----------------|-------|-----|------|
| Denmark | 46,9 | | 2,05 |
| Germany | 37,2 | | 1,62 |
| Ireland | 33,5 | | 1,46 |
| Spain | 22,9 | | 1,00 |
| Netherlands | 38,3 | | 1,67 |
| Austria | 37,5 | р | 1,64 |
| Sweden | 39,7 | | 1,73 |
| Norway | 51,1 | | 2,23 |
| United Kingdom | 29,80 | Est | 1,3 |

Table 1. LCI based cost weights for ENROAD countries (Spain based).



Proposals of policies and/or recommendations:

- Case analysis offers insight into economic, financial, and environmental issues depending on geographical locations and RETs.
- Recommendations include surplus energy sales, battery configuration for storage, and their economic effects.
- ENROAD's flexibility, based on an Excel file, offers multiple options for configuring solutions and scenarios of decisions.

ENROAD THANK YOU!

Francisco M. Somohano University of Cantabria fm.somohano@unican.es

